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I. INTRODUCTION

Defendant Jeremy L. Bass ("Defendant"), perforce pro se, submits this Memorandum in Support of Motion to Stay. This Court entered judgment against Defendant on December 16th, 2024, ordering enforcement against his property located at 1515 21st Avenue, Lewiston, Idaho. Defendant has filed a timely Notice of Appeal and now seeks a stay of enforcement pending appellate review pursuant to Idaho Rule of Civil Procedure 62(d) and Idaho Appellate Rule 13(b).

II. LEGAL STANDARD

Under Idaho Rule of Civil Procedure 62(d), the Court has the authority to stay the enforcement of a judgment pending appeal. Idaho Appellate Rule 13(b) further provides that a stay may be granted under such terms and conditions as the Court deems just. When determining whether to grant a stay, courts consider:

1. The likelihood of success on appeal;
2. The threat of irreparable harm to the movant if a stay is not granted;
3. The potential harm to the opposing party from granting the stay; and
4. The public interest.

III. ARGUMENT

1. Substantial Questions Are Raised on Appeal  
   The appeal raises significant legal questions concerning the validity of the foreclosure sale and compliance with Idaho Code §45-1508. Defendant’s appeal challenges:
   1. Procedural irregularities and potential collusion at the trustee’s sale, including pre-printed bid checks and improper notice;
   2. The failure of the foreclosure process to meet statutory and constitutional requirements, which voids the Plaintiffs' claim to the property; and
   3. The lack of bona fide purchaser protections due to substantive defects in the foreclosure sale process.

These issues are non-frivolous and warrant careful appellate review to ensure the fairness and integrity of Idaho’s foreclosure system.

1. Irreparable Harm to Defendant  
   Absent a stay, Defendant will face:
   1. Immediate eviction from his primary residence, resulting in the loss of his home and disruption to his tenant’s rights;
   2. Loss of over $400,000 in equity in the property, which cannot be adequately compensated by monetary damages; and
   3. Severe financial hardship caused by the enforcement of the judgment, exacerbated by ongoing property-related expenses totaling approximately $600 per month for utilities, taxes, and insurance.

These harms are irreparable and justify granting a stay to preserve the status quo during the appellate process.

1. **Minimal Harm to Plaintiffs**  
   Granting a stay will impose minimal, if any, harm on Plaintiffs because:
   1. Plaintiffs purchased the property at a trustee’s sale for $165,346.71, significantly below its assessed value of $306,545, and can recover their bid amount if the appeal voids the sale;
   2. Defendant’s diligent maintenance of the property ensures its value is preserved, protecting Plaintiffs from financial loss; and
   3. A temporary delay in possession is unlikely to cause significant harm, particularly given the ongoing care provided by Defendant.
2. **Public Interest Supports Granting a Stay**  
   The public interest is served by:
   1. Ensuring meaningful access to appellate review, particularly in foreclosure disputes involving significant property rights;
   2. Promoting fairness and preventing unjust enrichment, as Plaintiffs would otherwise benefit from Defendant’s financial contributions to maintaining the property; and
   3. Preserving stability in property ownership while substantive legal issues are resolved on appeal.

VI. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Defendant respectfully requests that this Court grant the Motion to Stay Judgment Pending Appeal and enjoin enforcement of the judgment during the pendency of the appeal.

Dated this \_2nd\_ day of January 2025.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeremy L. Bass

Defendant-Appellant / Perforce Pro Se

Jeremy L. Bass Signature

*Defendant-Appellant / Perforce Pro Se*
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Jeremy L. Bass Signature

*Defendant-Appellant / Perforce Pro Se*
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**CERTIFICATION AFFIDAVIT**

STATE OF IDAHO )

: ss.

County of NEZ PERCE )

Jeremy L. Bass, being sworn, deposes and says:

That the party is the appellant in the above-entitled appeal and that all statements in this notice of appeal are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Jeremy L. Bass Signature

*Defendant-Appellant / Perforce Pro Se*

Subscribed and Sworn to before me this 2nd , day of January, 2025.

\_ \_

*Notary Public for Idaho*

Residing at \_ Commission Expires: \_ \_
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**ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

STATE OF IDAHO )

: ss.

County of NEZ PERCE )

On the 2nd day of January , 2025, before me, the undersigned Notary Public, personally appeared Jeremy L. Bass , known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that s/he executed the same.

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF**, I have set my hand and seal the day and year as above written.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

*Notary Public for Idaho*

Residing at \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Commission Expires: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_